

Rulemaking Hearing Rules  
Of  
Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency

Chapter 1660-02-02  
Rules and Regulations for Number

Amendments

1660-02-02-.02(1), Display, is amended by deleting subparagraph (c) in its entirety and replacing it with a new subparagraph (c) to read as follows:

- (c) A validation decal must be affixed to the vessel on each side of the forward half of the vessel immediately preceding or following the TN identification number.

Authority: T.C.A. §70-1-206.

1660-02-02-.02(1), Display, is further amended by adding a new subparagraph (d) to read as follows:

- (d) Vessels with a valid document issued by the United States Coast Guard shall not be required to display a certificate of number but shall display a current vessel validation decal issued by the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency. The decal shall be located on each side of the vessel on the windows located nearest the main operator station, or if not equipped with windows, the decal shall be placed in the immediate vicinity of the operator so that the decal is clearly visible to enforcement officers. Sailboats, in lieu of that location, may place the decal on the bottom of the main mast, on both the port and starboard sides.

Authority: T.C.A. §70-1-206.

The rulemaking hearing rule amendment set out herein was properly filed in the Department of State on the 3rd day of April, 2008 and will become effective on the 17th day of June, 2008. (FS 04-04-08; DBID 2856)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

Rule 1660-02-02  
Rules and Regulations for Number

- (1) The type or types of small business and an identification and estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed rule that would bear the cost of, and/or directly benefit from the proposed rule:

There is no fiscal impact to this rule.

- (2) The projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed rule, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record:

None required

- (3) A statement of the probable effect on impacted small businesses and consumers:

None

- (4) A description of any less burdensome, less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose and/or objectives of the proposed rule that may exist, and to what extent, such alternative means might be less burdensome to small business:

At present, validation decals are furnished but are not required to be displayed on documented vessels. This rule would require display of the decal but has no associated increase in cost.

- (5) A comparison of the proposed rule with any federal or state counterparts:

Similar rules are in place in other states; the US Coast Guard does not object.

- (6) Analysis of the effect of the possible exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed rule:

There is no fiscal impact and exclusion would be irrelevant.