

Rulemaking Hearing Rules
of
Department of Environment and Conservation
Division of Remediation
Chapter 1200-1-13
Hazardous Substance Remedial Action
Amendments

Rule 1200-1-13-.13 List of Inactive Hazardous Substance Sites is amended by deleting the following site from the list, such deletion being made in a manner so that the entire list remains in numerical order.

<u>Site Number</u>	<u>Site Name</u>
47-522	C.A. Rose Farm Knoxville, TN

Statutory Authority: T.C.A. § 68-212-206(e) and § 68-212-215(e).

The rulemaking hearing rules set out herein were properly filed in the Department of State on the 24th day of June, 2008, and will be come effective on the 7th day of September, 2008. (FS 06-3-08; DBID 2906)

Economic Impact Statement

- (1) The type or types of small business and an identification and estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed rule that would bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

There are no small businesses that would be impacted by this rulemaking.

- (2) The projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed rule, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record.

There are no small businesses that would be impacted by this rulemaking, therefore, no reporting or recordkeeping would be required of any small businesses.

- (3) A statement of the probable effect on impacted small businesses and consumers.

There are no small businesses that would be impacted by this rulemaking, therefore, no impact.

- (4) A description of any less burdensome, less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose and objectives of the proposed rule that may exist, and to what extent the alternative means might be less burdensome to small business.

The Department and the Board are required by statute, through rulemaking, to list on the List of Inactive Hazardous Substance Sites any sites that meet the criteria for listing and which the Department expects to expend funds. There is no alternative method provided by the statute for adding or removing sites from the list. This rulemaking is being taken to specifically remove a site from the list, therefore, there is no impact to small businesses.

- (5) A comparison of the proposed rule with any federal or state counterparts.

This rulemaking is somewhat similar to the Federal action of placing or removing a site on the EPA National Priorities List of sites. There are no other state counterparts.

- (6) Analysis of the effect of the possible exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed rule.

There are no small businesses impacted by this rulemaking, therefore, this is not applicable.